Approved For Release 2001/08/01550 RDP81-3 D04R000100020004-6

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

REPORT NO. INFORMATION REPORT 

25X1A

DATE DISTR. 5 February 51

COUNTRY

Czechoslovakia

**SUBJECT** 

Evaluation of Czechoslovakian Lactic Acid

Samples

NO. OF PAGES

2

**PLACE** ACQUIRED

DATE OF IN

DATE

ACQUIRED /B

25X1A

NO. OF ENCLS.

SUPPLEMENT TO REPORT NO.

a. Sample No. 1 was a bottle of lactic acid 80%.

Sample No. 2 was a bottle of lactic acid 50%.

2. Both samples were compared with U. S. Pharmacopoeia Standards (USP XIV) with the following results:

USP XIV Test	Sample No. 1	Sample No. 2	
Residue on ignition (USP limitel.2 mg/cc.)	3.1 mg/cc.	4.5 mg/cc.	
Readily carbonizable substances	passes	passes	
Chloride	does not pass	passes	
Citric, oxalic, phosphoric or tartaric acid	passes	passes	
Sulfate	passes	does not pass	
Heavy metals	passes	passes	
Sugars	passes	passes	
Assay	77.1% (96.4% of declared)	49.2% (98.4% of declared)	

- 3. The packaging of these samples was inferior to U. S. A. practice in that the bottles were closed with cork stoppers and sealing wax instead of with screw-caps provided with paraffined liners.
  - a. This inferior closure is believed to be responsible for the relatively dark color of the samples. The color is attributed to cork extractives.

CLASSIFICATION SECRET SEGRET

OD ROOM TO A DESCRIPTION								
STATE	X NAVY	$oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{ol}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$	NSRB	DISTRIBUTION	T			
ARMY	X AIR	X	FBI	200				

- 4. Conclusions drawn from these tests and examinations are as follows:
  - a. Sample No. 1 fails to meet U. S. P. standards in the following respects:
    - (1) The residue on ignition is above U.S.P. limits.
    - (2) The chloride content is outside U.S.P. limits.
    - (3) The lactic acid content is actually 77.1% as opposed to a claimed content of 80%.
  - b. Sample No. 2 fails to meet U.S.P. standards in the following respects:
    - (1) The residue on ignition is above U.S.P. limits.
    - (2) The sulfate content is outside U.S.P. limits.
    - (3) The lactic acid content is actually 49.2% as opposed to a claimed content of 50%.
  - c. Each sample contains an appreciable amount of white-insoluble matter; Sample No. 1 contains considerably more than Sample No. 2.
  - d. The bottle closures are inferior to those employed for similar products in USA practice.

- end -

SECRET